	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/758,969	   EBRAHIMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry Orr	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comr <b>IGHTS.</b> This application is and MPEP 1308.	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course.	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>interview dated 3/19/</u>	<u>2009</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>51-55,57-66,68,70-88,90-98 al</u>	nd 101-114.		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to f	ion No ed in this national stage application fror	
<ul> <li>noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which given</li> </ul>	nitted. Note the attached E		OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	et he submitted		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		ew ( PTO-948) attached	
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	-	,	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1			of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			1
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview Paper No 7. □ Examiner 8. ⊠ Examiner 9. □ Other	Informal Patent Application Summary (PTO-413), b./Mail Date <u>20090319</u> . is Amendment/Comment is Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
	/DOUG HUT Supervisory P	TON/ atent Examiner, Art Unit 2176	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 51-55, 57-66, 68, 70-88, 90-98 and 101-114 are pending in the case.
- 2. Claims 1-50, 56, 67, 69, 89, 99 and 100 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 51, 77 and 90 are independent claims.
- 4. Based upon further review of the instant application and remarks made by Applicant during interview on 3/18/2009, Examiner withdraws objection to specification in action dated 3/13/2009. As a result, there are no outstanding objections or rejections for the instant application.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 51-55, 57-66, 68, 70-88, 90-98 and 101-114 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Each of the independent claims 51, 77 and 90 are directed towards selecting at least one page component comprising an advertisement and at least one different page component comprising a non-advertising content based on actual page value of the web page, where the respective actual value of each respective page component is based on a relevance of each respective page component to a context of the web page. The

applied references of Kamangar, McElfresh, Gross, Aggarwal, Llach, and Harrnngton, alone or in combination fail to expressly disclose or suggest these features.

Kamangar relates to "a more effective advertising system which orders ads an [sic] a manner that maximizes both their relevance and their economic values...by ad price information and ad performance information." Kamangar, ¶12.

McElfresh relates to a system for "optimizing placement of ads on a webpage." McElfresh discloses "[a] generalized content block 42 is shown in the fight-center of the page 40" and "[i]n addition, the peripheral blocks for placement of ads, or topic tiles, are arranged in order to maximize revenue generation for the webpage," thus the content is not included in the arrangement to maximize revenue generation. McElfresh, ¶33.

Gross relates to a system for "searching, index, and presenting information." Gross, ¶30. Gross discloses scoring "the relevancy of each found document or file." Gross, ¶82. The scores of the documents of Gross are based on the relevancy of the found documents to search terms. Gross, ¶82.

Aggarwal relates to "a method for placing advertisements [sic] web pages." Aggarwal, Col. 2, line 51. Aggarwal discloses that "a primary object of the present invention is to provide a method for dynamically assigning advertisements to appropriate slots on appropriate web pages." Aggarwal, Col. 2, 11.33-35.

Llach relates to "a system and method for generating and selecting targeted advertising using price metrics." Llach, ¶2. Llach discloses that "[t]he targeted advertisement 110 is then selected or generated, embedded within the Web page 100',

transmitted to the user's system, and displayed on the user's system along with the results of the user's request to the search engine, a list of Web sites," indicating that search results are not included in the advertisement selection.

Hamngton relates to "specifying a custom document as a constraint optimization problem." Harnngton, col. 3, lines 32-33. Harnngton teaches, "areas of the document to be filled with content are modeled as problem variables."

Therefore, Kamangar, McElfresh, Gross, Aggarwal, Llach, and Harrnngton, alone or in combination fail to expressly disclose or suggest the independent claims 51, 77 and 90.

Furthermore, the prior art made of record fail to resolve the deficiencies of the applied art.

For examination purposes, Examiner interprets the recited "computer readable medium" in claim 90 to include the servers as described in the specification (see par. 55; teaches yapache servers running on FreeBSD Intel boxes) which implementation must include the necessary hardware.

Accordingly, claims 51-55, 57-66, 68, 70-88, 90-98 and 101-114 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,969 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Orr whose telephone number is (571) 270 1308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8 to 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on (571) 272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,969 Page 6

Art Unit: 2176

3/19/2009 HO

/DOUG HUTTON/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2176